

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

CARLOS AYALA LOPEZ (1),
EUSEBIO LLANOS CRESPO (10),

Defendant(s)

CRIMINAL NO. 03-55 (JAG)

MOTION	ORDER
<p>Date Filed: January 19, 2006 Title: Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation Docket(s): 815</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiffs <input type="checkbox"/> Third Party <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>	<p>Upon review of the Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation and defendant's objections thereto, the Court finds no reason to depart from the Magistrate-Judge's findings and therefore ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Accordingly, the court DENIES defendant's motion to suppress (Docket No. 584).</p>
MOTION	ORDER
<p>Date Filed: January 19, 2006 Title: Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation Docket(s): 816</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiffs <input type="checkbox"/> Third Party <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>	<p>Upon review of the Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation and defendant's objections thereto, the Court finds no reason to depart from the Magistrate-Judge's findings and therefore ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Accordingly, the court DENIES without prejudice defendant's motion to preclude alleged co-conspirator hearsay statements (Docket No. 589).</p>

Criminal No. 03-55 (JAG)

MOTION	ORDER
<p>Date Filed: January 20, 2006 Title: Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation Docket(s): 829</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiffs <input type="checkbox"/> Third Party <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>	<p>Upon review of the Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation and defendant's objections thereto, the Court finds no reason to depart from the Magistrate-Judge's findings and therefore ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Accordingly, the court DENIES defendant's motion to suppress out-of-court identifications and anticipated in-court identifications (Docket No. 593).</p>
MOTION	ORDER
<p>Date Filed: January 25, 2006 Title: Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation Docket(s): 845</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiffs <input type="checkbox"/> Third Party <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>	<p>Upon review of the Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation and defendant's objections thereto, the Court finds no reason to depart from the Magistrate-Judge's findings and therefore ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Accordingly, the court DENIES without prejudice defendant's motion to exclude other crimes and bad conduct evidence (Docket No. 583).</p>
MOTION	ORDER
<p>Date Filed: February 3, 2006 Title: Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation Docket(s): 857</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiffs <input type="checkbox"/> Third Party <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>	<p>Upon review of the Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation and defendant's objections thereto, the Court finds no reason to depart from the Magistrate-Judge's findings and therefore ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Accordingly, the court DENIES defendant's motion to compel Government's production of information and documents regarding undercover audiotapes (Docket No. 688).</p>
MOTION	ORDER
<p>Date Filed: February 3, 2006 Title: Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation Docket(s): 858</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiffs <input type="checkbox"/> Third Party <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>	<p>Upon review of the Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation and defendant's objections thereto, the Court finds no reason to depart from the Magistrate-Judge's findings and therefore ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Accordingly, the court DENIES defendant's motion to suppress or exclude audiotapes and transcripts (Docket No. 596).</p>

Criminal No. 03-55 (JAG)

MOTION	ORDER
<p>Date Filed: February 3, 2006 Title: Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation Docket(s): 859</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiffs <input type="checkbox"/> Third Party <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>	<p>Upon review of the Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation and defendant's objections thereto, the Court finds no reason to depart from the Magistrate-Judge's findings and therefore ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Accordingly, the court DENIES defendant's motion to suppress (Docket Nos. 585, 806).</p>
MOTION	ORDER
<p>Date Filed: February 6, 2006 Title: Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation Docket(s): 860</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiffs <input type="checkbox"/> Third Party <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>	<p>Upon review of the Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation and defendant's objections thereto, the Court finds no reason to depart from the Magistrate-Judge's findings and therefore ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Accordingly, the court DENIES defendant's motion to suppress or exclude Luis Llorens Torres Housing Project Videotapes (Docket No. 600).</p>
MOTION	ORDER
<p>Date Filed: February 8, 2006 Title: Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation Docket(s): 866</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiffs <input type="checkbox"/> Third Party <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>	<p>Upon review of the Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation and defendant's objections thereto, the Court finds no reason to depart from the Magistrate-Judge's findings and therefore ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Accordingly, the court DENIES defendant's motions in limine (Docket Nos. 573, 574, 582, 652, 832, 833, 840, 841, 843, 844).</p>
MOTION	ORDER
<p>Date Filed: February 8, 2006 Title: Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation Docket(s): 870</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiffs <input type="checkbox"/> Third Party <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>	<p>Upon review of the Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation and defendant's objections thereto, the Court finds no reason to depart from the Magistrate-Judge's findings and therefore ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Accordingly, the court DENIES defendant's motion to suppress (Docket Nos. 579, 832, 840).</p>

Criminal No. 03-55 (JAG)

MOTION	ORDER
<p>Date Filed: February 9, 2006 Title: Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation Docket(s): 873</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiffs <input type="checkbox"/> Third Party <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>	<p>Upon review of the Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation and defendant's objections thereto, the Court finds no reason to depart from the Magistrate-Judge's findings and therefore ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Accordingly, the court DENIES defendant's motion to suppress (Docket Nos. 653, 833, 844).</p>
MOTION	ORDER
<p>Date Filed: February 9, 2006 Title: Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation Docket(s): 878</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiffs <input type="checkbox"/> Third Party <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>	<p>Upon review of the Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation and defendant's objections thereto, the Court finds no reason to depart from the Magistrate-Judge's findings and therefore ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Accordingly, the court DENIES defendant's motion to suppress (Docket Nos. 580, 841, 843).</p>
MOTION	ORDER
<p>Date Filed: February 14, 2006 Title: Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation Docket(s): 898</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiffs <input type="checkbox"/> Third Party <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>	<p>Upon review of the Magistrate-Judge's Report and Recommendation and defendant's objections thereto, the Court finds no reason to depart from the Magistrate-Judge's findings and therefore ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Accordingly, the court DENIES defendant's motion to suppress VA's videotape and still photos (Docket No. 597).</p>

Date: March 27, 2006

s/ Jay A. Garcia-Gregory
JAY A. GARCIA-GREGORY
U.S. District Judge